

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/588,089	05/25/2007	Yasuaki Fujita	Q96241	4020		
2373) 7590 11/26/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 21/00 PENNSYI, VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20/037			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			STORMER, RUSSELL D			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	, - 0		3617	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/26/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,089	FUJITA, YASUAR	a	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Russell D. Stormer	3617		

	Examino	ALC OILL					
	Russell D. Stormer	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	ldress				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY			0) DAYS,				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for regly is specified above, the maximum statutory period we have a substantial period of the provision of the provisi	36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
_	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>							
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>						
3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Droftonomon's Botom Drowing Bosins (BTO 040)	Paper No(s)/Mail D:						

Notice of braitsperson of racing light (FTO/S5/08)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/31/06 and 3/11/08.

 Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/588,089

Art Unit: 3617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a guotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the preamble of claim 1, a coreless rubber crawler is set forth as having an outer surface which comes into contact with a track roller. The track roller is not positively recited. In line 10, a track roller is recited as part of the invention.

Therefore, the claim is indefinite as to whether Applicant is claiming the track, the roller, or a combination thereof. It is suggested that the preamble be amended to recite the combination of a coreless rubber crawler and track roller assembly.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaoka et al (U.S. Patent 6890042)

Inaoka et al discloses a track assembly comprising an endless rubber track and a roller wherein a contact area of the inner periphery of the track and the outer surface of Application/Control Number: 10/588,089

Art Unit: 3617

the roller is less than 100%. As shown in figure 6, the outer surface of the roller is stepped at the inner and outer sides such that only a portion of the roller's outer surface contacts the track. The amount of contact appears to about 80%, but the exact amount is not disclosed. However, those of ordinary skill in the art could readily determine suitable contact areas of the roller with the inner surface of the track, and to choose a contact area of about 30% to 50% would have been obvious in order to optimize the engagement of the rollers with the track, and to further optimize the pressure of the track on the ground surface.

With respect to claim 7, the term "correspond" is vague and does not recite any clear structural relationship between the stepped portion of the roller and the endless track, and the assembly of Inaoka et al is considered to meet this limitation.

With respect to claim 9, the grousers or rubber lugs on the outer surface of the track are not in the shape of a distorted H. However, those of ordinary skill in the art could readily determine suitable shapes for the lugs based on the intended use of the vehicle, the size of the vehicle, and the operating conditions and traction requirements of the vehicle.

Claims 3-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaoka et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Watanabe et al (U.S. Patent 6471307).

The track of Inaoka et al does not include a stepped portion on the inner surface of the track

Art Unit: 3617

Watanabe et al teaches an endless track comprising an inner surface with a raised or stepped portion on which the rollers travel. See figures 3 and 4, for instance. From this teaching it would have been obvious to provide the endless track of Inaoka et al with a stepped portion on the inner surface as this would strengthen or reinforce the central section of the track and resist bending in that area.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show other endless track assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6687. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,089 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*\*\*

/Russell D. Stormer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3617